

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No. 10/662,052	Applicant(s) BRANECKY ET AL.	
	Examiner Renata McCloud	Art Unit 2837	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

 (1) Renata McCloud.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) David Price.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 4 April 2006
**Time:** 4pm
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

1,39

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*the examiner indicated that "motor" should be replaced by "electric machine" in claims 1 and 39. Att Price agreed to the changes via examiner's amendment.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)